

# Hypothermic cardiac arrest : what do we know about non-rewarmed patients ?



Hypothermia Outcome Prediction after ECLS

Congrès International Médecine de Montagne, Le Châble – Verbier, 18-21 Janvier 2024

# Nicolas HALL (1), Jessika MÉTRAILLER-MERMOUD (2), Evelien COOLS (3), Pierre-Nicolas CARRON (1), Mathieu PASQUIER (1)

(1) Emergency Department, CHUV, Lausanne; (2) Emergency Department, Hôpital du Valais, Sion; (3) Anaesthesiology Department, HUG, Geneva

#### **Introduction**

- Hypothermic cardiac arrest (CA) usually appears with a core temperature below 30°C. Extracorporeal life support rewarming (ECLSR) by using ECMO is the treatment of choice with good neurological outcome.
- Historically, the indication for ECLSR was based on serum K<sup>+</sup> with a maximum threshold set at 12mmol/I.
- The HOPE score, with a suggested cut-off at 10%, has recently been proposed to guide rewarming decisions for hypothermic CA (European Resuscitation Council 2021). HOPE < 10% = no ECLSR.</li>
- Aim : Study hypothermic CAs who did not receive ECLSR but were admitted to a hospital equipped for it.

#### **Materials & Methods**

- Swiss multicentric (Lausanne, Sion, Geneva) retrospective observational study, from January 2000 to May 2021.
- Group analysis according to serum potassium at hospital admission :  $K^+ < 12 \text{ mmol/L}$  and  $K^+ \ge 12 \text{ mmol/L}$ .
- Primary outcome : Were all decisions compliant with guidelines at the time of admission (based on K<sup>+</sup>) ?
- Secondary outcomes : Decisional criteria leading to resuscitation termination, retrospective HOPE calculation.

## Results (1)

- We included 38 patients (74% male) among the 97 identified hypothermic CAs, 14 of whom were avalanche victims.
- The mean temperature was 25 ± 6.2°C.
- 33% of <u>non-rewarming decisions</u> were compliant with previous guidelines (K<sup>+</sup> ≥ 12mmol/L), meaning that 67% of patients had a K<sup>+</sup> value at hospital admission in favour of ECLSR but were

Criteria	leading	to	the	decision	of	non-rewarming
----------	---------	----	-----	----------	----	---------------

ariables included in the HOPE estimation of survival probabilities
Core temperature insufficiently low to cause CA by pure hypothermia (temperature values in °C: 29.6, 30.1, 31, 31.4)
Potassium measurement at hospital admission (measurements in mmol/L: 6.8, 10.1, 10.2)
Long duration of CPR (in minutes: 55, 68, 158)
Old age (83)
Drowning assumed to be a more likely cause of CA than hypothermia based on circumstances
ariables not included in the HOPE estimation of survival probabilities
Overall biological parameters of arterial blood-gas measurements (lactate concentration, pH, potassium)
Duration of no-flow <sup>b</sup> (in minutes: 15, 20, 30, unknown $n = 2$ )
Asystole as CA rhythm
Overall prognosis and comorbidities
Do not resuscitate order or presumed wishes stated by relatives
Other cause of CA more likely than hypothermia based on circumstances (heart disease, head trauma)
Duration of burial for avalanche victims (short burial, i.e. <35 min, durations in minutes unknown)
Undetermined <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Multiple decisional criteria described in the table may apply to the same patient.

#### not rewarmed (十).

<sup>b</sup> No-flow is the time during which cardiac output is absent, before any CPR is performed <sup>c</sup> No criteria for non-rewarming were identified in the patient's medical records for these cases.

#### • Why did they not receive ECLSR? $\rightarrow$

**Table 1.** Criteria mentioned in the medical charts leading to resuscitation termination for

 67% (n=24) of patients, whose K<sup>+</sup> at admission did not contraindicate ECLSR

Potassium > 12 mmol/L		≤ 12 mmol/L				
n =	10	24				
	ECLSR not indicated	ECL Futile ECLSR	ECLSR indicated			
n =	10	13	11			
HOPE		≥ 10%				

Figure 1. Distribution of ECLSR eligibility and comparison of triage tools :  $K^+$  alone vs HOPE

# <u>Results</u> (2)

- All patients with K<sup>+</sup> ≥ 12mmol/L had a HOPE score < 10%, meaning no ECLSR either.</li>
- 54% (13/24) of patients with K<sup>+</sup> < 12mmol/L, (indicating ECLSR) had a HOPE score < 10%.</li>
- The retrospective HOPE score calculation, when used with a 10% threshold, would have supported 68% (23/34) of all decisions made by the clinicians not to rewarm patients.

### **Discussion**

• The level of evidence underlying the use of the potassium level alone is low. The HOPE score improves triage by

- limiting the number of patients with futile rewarming compared with K+ levels alone.
- Most of the criteria listed for resuscitation termination are no longer considered as exclusion factors for ECLSR (asystole, age, long duration of no-flow).
- By extrapolating to our population the positive predictive values (proportion of patients who survived after ECLSR among those with HOPE ≥ 10%) of 55% and 57%, respectively from the HOPE derivation and validation studies, we concluded that 6 of the 11 patients with HOPE ≥ 10% could have benefited from ECLSR with potential survival.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The adherence to hypothermic CA resuscitation guidelines at the time of admission was low. However, the majority of non-rewarming decisions would still have been supported by the HOPE score calculation. <u>Contact : Nicolas.Hall@chuv.ch</u> Hope
 Hope
 Hope

www.hypothermiascore.org

Reference : Hall N, Métrailler-Mermoud J, Cools E, Fehlmann C, Carron PN, Rousson V, Grabherr S, Schrag B, Kirsch M, Frochaux V, Pasquier M. « Hypothermic cardiac arrest patients admitted to hospital who were not rewarmed with extracorporeal life support: A retrospective study ». Resusc Plus. 2023 Aug 10.